ADMI IJME
International Journal Management and Economic
IJME JOURNAL Vol. 5 No. 2 | May 2026 – pISSN: 2829-0399, eISSN: 2829-0526, Page 75-85

MEASURABLE KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AS A TOOL FOR ASSESSING THE SUCCESS OF AN ORGANIZATION'S BUSINESS IMPLEMENTATION

Didit Darmawan[1], Seftia Ayuningsih[2], Muhammad Ricky Tirta Jagad Dhita[3], Rahayu Mardikaningsih[4], Arif Rachman Putra[5]
[1,2,4,5]Program Studi Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Sunan Giri, Surabaya
[3]Program Studi Administrasi Publik, Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Sunan Giri, Surabaya

Article History
Received: April 22nd 2026
Revised : April 29th 2026
Accepted : May 9th 2026
Published : May 18th 2026

Corresponding author:
dr.diditdarmawan@gmail.com

Cite This Article: Darmawan, D., Ayuningsih, S., Dhita, M. R. T. J., Mardikaningsih, R., & Putra, A. R. (2026). MEASURABLE KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AS A TOOL FOR ASSESSING THE SUCCESS OF AN ORGANIZATION'S BUSINESS IMPLEMENTATION. *International Journal Management and Economic*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.56127/ijme.v5i2.2698

DOI:
https://doi.org/10.56127/ijme.v5i2.2698

Abstract: This study examines the role of measurable key performance indicators (KPIs) as instruments for assessing the success of organizational business execution. Using a qualitative literature review approach, the study analyzes relevant scholarly works on performance measurement, strategic management, and KPI design to identify the principles underlying effective indicator selection, measurement, and use in managerial decision-making. The findings show that effective KPIs should possess relevance, validity, reliability, and sensitivity to change, while also maintaining direct alignment with organizational strategic objectives. KPI selection should be grounded in causal logic that links strategic actions to expected outcomes and should incorporate a balanced combination of leading and lagging indicators. The study also highlights the importance of limiting the number of indicators according to the principle of parsimony in order to maintain managerial focus on critical performance dimensions. In addition, realistic target setting, reliable data collection, validation procedures, and dashboard-based visualization are essential for improving the usefulness of performance information. The effectiveness of KPI systems further depends on the organization's ability to interpret performance data, integrate it into formal decision-making processes, and use it to support accountability, organizational learning, and continuous improvement. This study contributes theoretically to the understanding of the relationship between performance measurement and strategic management, while practically offering guidance for organizations in designing KPI systems that support evidence-based evaluation of business execution.

Keywords: key performance indicators, performance measurement, business execution, strategic alignment, leading indicators, lagging indicators

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary organizations are increasingly required to translate their vision and mission into concrete actions that can be measured, monitored, and evaluated on a continuous basis. The success of business execution depends not only on the quality of strategic planning, but also on management's ability to identify key variables that reflect progress toward established objectives. Without a clear measurement mechanism, organizations face difficulty in determining whether implementation remains aligned with strategic priorities or has deviated from the intended direction. In dynamic business environments, rapid and evidence-based decision-making requires monitoring systems capable of detecting deviations before they develop into significant organizational problems (Dabetić et al., 2024). In this context, performance measurement serves as a critical link between strategic intent and operational action.

The field of organizational performance measurement has evolved from a narrow emphasis on financial outcomes into a broader and multidimensional perspective. Earlier approaches relied primarily on profitability and cost efficiency as the main indicators of success. However, management scholarship has demonstrated that financial performance is only the final outcome of a chain of internal processes, customer responses, innovation capabilities, and organizational learning. This shift has encouraged organizations to

adopt multidimensional performance measurement systems that integrate financial and non-financial dimensions, short-term and long-term objectives, and internal as well as external perspectives (Carneiro-da-Cunha et al., 2016). As a result, the assessment of organizational success now requires a more comprehensive framework capable of capturing the complexity of strategic execution.

Within this broader framework, key performance indicators (KPIs) play a central role because they translate broad strategic intentions into specific and observable measures. Strategic objectives are often formulated in general and aspirational terms, such as improving customer satisfaction, strengthening innovation capability, or enhancing operational excellence. Such objectives cannot guide action effectively unless they are converted into measurable indicators with clear definitions, targets, and monitoring procedures. Measurable KPIs help organizations define what success means, how it will be assessed, and whether actual performance is moving toward the intended strategic outcomes. They also support comparison across periods, functions, and business units, thereby strengthening managerial control and enabling more objective evaluation.

However, the effectiveness of KPIs depends heavily on their quality and their alignment with strategy. Performance indicators should not be selected merely because data are available or because they are easy to quantify. Instead, they must represent critical strategic drivers and demonstrate a meaningful relationship with desired outcomes. Previous literature emphasizes that performance measurement systems require clear identification of indicators and their interrelationships, particularly when such indicators are intended to support managerial interpretation and strategic control (Ramos et al., 2020). Likewise, cause-and-effect relationships are essential to ensure that performance measures do not merely describe outcomes but also explain how organizational actions contribute to those outcomes (Kober & Northcott, 2020). When KPIs are selected inappropriately, organizations may generate substantial volumes of data without gaining insights that are useful for decision-making.

Another challenge arises from the increasing complexity of contemporary business environments. Technological disruption, shifting customer expectations, regulatory change, and global competition require organizations to adjust their priorities more frequently than before. Under such conditions, static performance measurement systems lose relevance quickly. Organizations therefore need adaptive systems that provide timely and decision-oriented information, allowing management to respond effectively to changes in strategic and operational conditions (Broccardo et al., 2024). This implies that KPIs should not be treated as fixed administrative tools, but rather as dynamic instruments that evolve along with organizational strategy, processes, and environmental demands.

A further issue frequently encountered in practice is the imbalance between the number of indicators monitored and the organization’s capacity to use them effectively. Some organizations attempt to measure too many variables, resulting in information overload and weakened managerial focus. Others monitor too few indicators, thereby losing visibility over critical aspects of performance. In both cases, the strategic value of measurement declines. Moreover, performance information is often collected routinely but not discussed substantively in managerial deliberation. Consequently, performance measurement becomes a reporting ritual rather than a mechanism for organizational learning and corrective action. This suggests that the central issue lies not only in how indicators are measured, but also in how they are interpreted, discussed, and integrated into decision-making processes (Velibor & Poola, 2023).

Although the literature on performance measurement, balanced scorecards, and organizational control is extensive, there remains a need for a more focused conceptual explanation of how measurable KPIs should be designed and used specifically as instruments for assessing business execution success. Much of the existing literature discusses performance measurement in broad terms, whereas fewer studies synthesize the principles of KPI selection, measurement reliability, target setting, validation, and managerial use into a coherent perspective on business execution assessment. This gap is important because many organizations struggle not with the idea of measurement itself, but with translating strategic priorities into a limited set of valid, reliable, and actionable indicators.

Accordingly, this study aims to explain how measurable key performance indicators can be used as tools for assessing the success of organizational business execution. Specifically, this study examines the principles underlying relevant indicator selection, the mechanisms required for reliable measurement, and the ways performance information can be utilized in strategic decision-making. Theoretically, this study

contributes to the understanding of the relationship between performance measurement and strategic management. Practically, it provides guidance for organizations in designing KPI systems that are aligned with strategic objectives and capable of supporting consistent, evidence-based evaluation of business execution.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a qualitative literature review approach to examine the role of measurable key performance indicators (KPIs) in assessing the success of organizational business execution. A literature-based design was selected because the objective of the study was conceptual and analytical rather than empirical, with emphasis placed on understanding the principles of KPI selection, measurement, interpretation, and managerial use within the context of strategic management. Through this approach, the study sought to synthesize relevant theoretical and practical insights from prior scholarship and to construct a coherent explanation of how measurable indicators can function as instruments for evaluating business execution.

The sources reviewed in this study consisted of academic journal articles, scholarly books, book chapters, and selected professional publications addressing organizational performance measurement, key performance indicators, balanced scorecard, strategic control, and performance-based management. Literature was selected on the basis of relevance to the research focus, conceptual contribution, and academic credibility. Particular attention was given to publications discussing the design of performance indicators, the characteristics of effective KPIs, the causal relationship between strategic actions and performance outcomes, and the use of performance information in managerial decision-making. This selection process was intended to ensure that the analysis remained closely aligned with the study objective and was grounded in authoritative sources.

The analytical process was conducted using thematic analysis. Each selected source was first read comprehensively to identify its main arguments, conceptual emphasis, and contribution to the topic. Key concepts were then extracted, compared, and grouped into recurring thematic categories. The main themes that emerged from the literature included the strategic alignment of KPIs, criteria for effective indicators, leading and lagging indicators, target setting, data collection and validation, dashboard-based performance monitoring, interpretation of performance data, and the role of KPI information in decision-making and organizational learning. By organizing the literature into thematic clusters, this study was able to identify conceptual patterns and relationships that are often dispersed across different sources.

To strengthen analytical rigor, the study applied source triangulation by comparing perspectives from multiple independent references. Rather than relying on a single author or school of thought, the analysis integrated arguments from different contributions in order to produce a more balanced and comprehensive understanding of KPI-based performance measurement. The findings were then synthesized into an interpretive conceptual framework that explains how measurable key performance indicators can be designed and used to assess business execution success. Accordingly, the conclusions of this study represent not a single viewpoint, but a reasoned synthesis of the broader literature in performance measurement and strategic management.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are a set of measurable metrics used by organizations to assess the extent to which their strategic and operational objectives have been achieved. KPIs function as specific performance benchmarks, enabling management to monitor the effectiveness of strategies, the efficiency of processes, and the contribution of individuals and teams to target achievement. These indicators are generally designed to align with the organization's vision, mission, and long-term goals, so that measurement results can provide a clear picture of success and identify areas requiring improvement. In practice, achieving these targets also requires social support, in which organizational social capital and team collaboration serve as important foundations for the success of overall quality management (Putra et al., 2021). This is particularly important because the ability of an organization to attain its main objectives is strongly influenced by employee performance (Darmawan et al., 2020).

In practice, KPIs may take the form of financial indicators, such as revenue growth or profit margin, as well as non-financial indicators, such as customer satisfaction, employee productivity, or service quality. Work productivity essentially reflects a mindset that encourages individuals to continuously improve themselves,

develop their skills, and avoid premature satisfaction, since high productivity is strongly expected by organizations and is closely related to work efficiency (Putra et al., 2022). Therefore, performance evaluation can provide an overview of individual productivity and work outcomes, as well as the extent to which employees are able to integrate their tasks and initiatives into the company's strategic planning (Putra et al., 2023). This achievement is also supported by strong commitment and employees' satisfaction with their work (Damayanti & Darmawan, 2025). By using KPIs, organizations are not only able to measure work outcomes, but can also identify trends, anticipate problems, and make data-based decisions to improve performance continuously.

Key performance indicators serve as translational tools that convert qualitative strategic objectives into measurable quantitative metrics. High employee performance is a major factor in producing optimal work outcomes that support the achievement of organizational goals (Retnowati et al., 2023). To support this process, innovation in human resource management is essential for strengthening competitiveness amid global competition (Abdulah et al., 2021). Objectives such as "improving customer satisfaction" or "strengthening product innovation" require operationalization into numbers or ratios that can be monitored periodically. This translation process begins with the identification of specific dimensions of strategic objectives; for example, customer satisfaction may be measured through retention rates, survey scores, or repurchase frequency. Competitive advantage often stimulates innovative behavior in order to sustain competition through the creation of superior products, services, or processes (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). In addition, employee work readiness and a comfortable office environment also have a tangible impact on successful task completion (Arifin & Mardikaningsih, 2021). The selection of dimensions to be measured depends on strategic priorities and available resources.

The selected indicators must reflect the most critical aspects of strategic objectives and must have a clear causal relationship with the expected outcomes; without a strong causal relationship, indicators lose their validity as tools for assessing strategic success. Human resource management grounded in quality management principles is therefore very important to ensure high work standards (Arifin et al., 2022). Human resources that perform in accordance with organizational expectations constitute important assets because they drive organizational progress (Munir et al., 2022). However, vague objectives and bias in evaluation often become obstacles to job satisfaction (Darmawan, 2021). This operationalization process requires a deep understanding of the mechanisms through which strategy is expected to produce results, so that the indicators selected truly measure progress toward those intended outcomes.

The main characteristics of effective performance indicators are relevance, validity, reliability, and sensitivity to change (Nkwake, 2023). In this regard, employee well-being and fair performance appraisal should be integrated to improve the quality of work life (Eddine et al., 2021). Relevance refers to the extent to which an indicator is directly connected to the strategic objective being pursued. Relevant indicators provide useful information for strategic decision-making. Validity concerns the extent to which an indicator actually measures what it is intended to measure. Indicators with low validity may produce misleading signals and lead organizations to incorrect conclusions. Organizational culture and employee self-confidence also shape consistent performance outcomes (Hariani, 2021). Reliability refers to measurement consistency, meaning that the same result should be obtained if the measurement is repeated under the same conditions. Reliability is essential to ensure that changes in indicator values reflect actual performance changes rather than random variation or measurement error. Sensitivity to change refers to the ability of an indicator to detect performance changes within a relevant time frame. Indicators that respond too slowly to change reduce the usefulness of information for timely decision-making. These four characteristics must be fulfilled for indicators to function as reliable assessment tools.

The selection of performance indicators must be based on an understanding of the causal model linking strategic actions to expected outcomes (Kober & Northcott, 2020). Employee involvement in sustainability initiatives has also been shown to improve organizational performance in social terms (Hariani & Mardikaningsih, 2024). A causal model explains how internal organizational activities and processes generate outputs, which in turn produce outcomes for stakeholders. Within this model, indicators can be classified into leading indicators and lagging indicators. Leading indicators measure activities or conditions that influence future performance, such as investment in research and development, employee engagement, or the quality of production processes. Lagging indicators measure final outcomes that have already been achieved, such as market share, profitability, or customer satisfaction. A balanced performance measurement system should include a combination of leading and lagging indicators. Adequate

ADMI IJME International Journal Management and Economic
IJME JOURNAL Vol. 5 No. 2 | May 2026 – pISSN: 2829-0399, eISSN: 2829-0526, Page 75-85
infrastructure and the quality of human resources are also decisive for work success, particularly in government settings (Hidayah et al., 2025). Leading indicators provide early warning signals regarding potential future problems, while lagging indicators confirm whether the strategy has produced the expected results. The balance between these two types of indicators enables organizations to take preventive action while also evaluating the final outcomes of their actions.

The number of indicators being monitored should be limited in order to avoid information overload that may obscure managerial focus. The principle of parsimony suggests that organizations should choose a relatively small set of indicators that are truly critical and sufficiently representative of overall performance. Creative behavior and active involvement in daily tasks strongly support the achievement of these targets (Hadi et al., 2020). The ideal number of key performance indicators varies depending on the complexity of the organization and the strategy being implemented, but it generally ranges from five to twenty indicators at the strategic level. Additional indicators may be used at the operational level for day-to-day management purposes, but only key indicators need to be reported to the executive level. The selection of key indicators should go through a deliberative process involving major stakeholders, including senior management, line managers, and support functions such as finance and human resources. This deliberative process ensures that the selected indicators reflect shared priorities and receive support from those responsible for strategy implementation.

Setting performance targets for each indicator is an important step in using indicators as assessment tools. Performance targets provide a clear standard regarding the expected level of performance and serve as a reference for assessing whether actual performance has met expectations (Bourne & Bourne, 2023). Targets may be established through several approaches, including benchmarking against competitors, examining the organization’s historical performance trends, or considering available resource capabilities. Resources highlight the organization’s commitment to utilizing what it possesses, since they play an important role in management decisions regarding allocation and use (Darmawan et al., 2022). Organizational support and individual self-belief are also performance drivers according to social exchange theory (Hariani & Yusuf, 2026). Realistic targets should be challenging yet attainable through reasonable effort. Overly ambitious targets may reduce motivation because they are perceived as unrealistic, whereas overly easy targets fail to encourage performance improvement. High motivation and a supportive work environment are therefore very important in maintaining performance consistency (Darmawan et al., 2021). The target-setting process should involve dialogue between senior management, which establishes strategic aspirations, and line managers, who understand operational realities. This dialogue facilitates negotiation regarding target levels that balance ambition and realism. Targets must also be adjusted periodically to reflect changes in the external or internal organizational environment. Targets established several years earlier may no longer be relevant if business conditions have changed significantly.

The design of performance data collection mechanisms must consider three main factors, namely data availability, collection cost, and the frequency of monitoring required (Mridul, 2022). The optimization of human resource management through technology and data has become increasingly important in the modern era (Chasanah & Mardikaningsih, 2023). Some indicators, such as sales or operating costs, can be measured easily because the data are already available in existing management information systems. Other indicators may require additional data collection through surveys, inspections, or audits. The cost and effort involved in collecting data should be proportional to the value of the information generated; indicators that are highly important but difficult to measure may require greater investment in more advanced systems or data collection processes.

Measurement frequency should be adjusted to the speed of performance change and the needs of decision-making. Indicators that change rapidly may need to be measured daily or weekly, whereas more stable indicators may be measured monthly or quarterly. Ease of access to technological facilities and mastery of digital skills are basic factors that need to be considered so that opportunities in the world of work can be utilized effectively (Arifin & Darmawan, 2021). Appropriate use of digital facilities and the readiness of individuals to work effectively are also important supporting factors for maximizing work outcomes (Darmawan et al., 2023). Modern information technology allows real-time measurement for various indicators, although not all indicators require high-frequency monitoring. Organizations must therefore balance the need for timely information with the cost and complexity of the systems required.

International Journal Management and Economic
IJME JOURNAL Vol. 5 No. 2 | May 2026 – pISSN: 2829-0399, eISSN: 2829-0526, Page 75-85
Performance data validation is a critical step in ensuring that the information used in decision-making is accurate and trustworthy (Scali et al., 2009). In this regard, strategies for improving bureaucratic efficiency must continue to be developed so that work outcomes remain optimal (Jannah & Mardikaningsih, 2023). Validation involves examining the data collection process, the methods of calculation, and the data sources used. Measurement errors may occur for various reasons, including data entry errors, incorrect calculations, or inconsistent indicator definitions. The validation process should include quality control mechanisms capable of detecting anomalies or unreasonable deviations in the data. When deviations are detected, an investigation should be conducted to determine whether they reflect actual performance changes or measurement errors. Validation also includes verifying that the reported data are consistent with other available evidence, such as operational reports or customer feedback. Without adequate validation, organizations risk making decisions based on inaccurate information and directing corrective actions toward problems that do not actually exist.

The visualization of performance data through dashboards or scorecards facilitates rapid understanding of performance status and identification of areas requiring attention (Kobi, 2024). Dashboards present performance indicators in graphical form, making it easier for users to see trends, compare actual performance with targets, and identify emerging patterns. The use of color coding, such as green for performance that meets targets and red for performance below target, provides a clear visual signal regarding performance status. Effective visualization should be simple and focused on the most important information, avoiding unnecessary detail that may distract attention from the core message. Dashboards may be customized for different management levels, with senior executives viewing aggregate strategic indicators and operational managers viewing more detailed indicators at the unit level. Technological integration today greatly supports innovation and enhances team performance (Putra, 2025). Modern technology also enables interactive dashboards that allow users to drill down into the details behind aggregate figures and conduct exploratory analyses of performance data. The success of this process also depends on the extent to which information technology is implemented and how individual skills are managed to support the task (Djaelani et al., 2020).

The interpretation of performance data requires an understanding of both external and internal factors that may influence performance. Deviations from targets do not always indicate failure in strategy execution; they may instead result from external changes beyond the organization's control (Mastilak et al., 2012). Adequate working conditions and strong encouragement for employees also have a substantial influence on final outcomes (Mardikaningsih & Darmawan, 2022). For example, a decline in sales may be caused by an economic recession affecting consumer purchasing power rather than by poor product quality or weak marketing efforts. The higher the level of product quality perceived by customers, the more positive the evaluation of business performance becomes (Chasanah et al., 2022). Appropriate interpretation requires root cause analysis to distinguish controllable factors from uncontrollable ones. Root cause analysis may be conducted through various methods, including cause-and-effect diagrams, variance analysis, or field investigation. The results of this analysis are then used to determine whether corrective action is necessary and what type of action is most appropriate. If deviations are caused by uncontrollable external factors, the organization may need to revise its targets or strategy to adapt to the new reality.

The use of performance information in decision-making requires formal mechanisms that ensure performance data genuinely influence action choices (Dooren et al., 2025). Clear communication in handling differences of opinion within diverse teams is also essential for maintaining effective collaboration (Marsal & Darmawan, 2022). Such mechanisms may include regular performance review meetings in which management discusses performance results, identifies the causes of deviations, and formulates corrective actions. These review meetings must be facilitated properly so that the discussion remains focused on substantive issues and produces concrete decisions. The meeting agenda should be structured to include the presentation of performance data, analysis of deviation causes, and formulation of action plans. Documentation of decisions and follow-up actions is important to ensure accountability and to enable evaluation of the effectiveness of the actions taken. Performance review meetings also function as forums for organizational learning, where experience and knowledge are shared across units and become inputs for future improvements in processes and strategy.

Accountability for performance is strengthened through the linkage between performance indicators and systems of rewards and consequences (Jamal et al., 2014). When the achievement of performance targets is connected to incentives or recognition, individuals and work units have stronger motivation to attain the

targets that have been set. However, the use of performance indicators in reward systems must be handled carefully in order to avoid dysfunctional behavior. Excessive focus on a single indicator may lead to neglect of other equally important aspects of performance. Data manipulation or short-term actions that harm long-term performance may also occur if the reward system is not well designed. Therefore, reward systems should be based on a combination of indicators that reflect multiple dimensions of performance and should include verification mechanisms to ensure that target achievement is pursued in an ethical and sustainable manner. A balance between financial and non-financial rewards is also important for maintaining long-term motivation.

Performance indicators must be revised periodically to ensure that they remain relevant to evolving strategic priorities. Organizational strategies may change in response to shifts in the business environment, and therefore the indicators used to measure strategic success must also be adjusted accordingly (Khan, 2024). The use of social media and proper image management may also help strengthen an organization's authentic value (Hariani & Mardikaningsih, 2022). In increasingly competitive business environments, knowledgeable and skilled employees constitute a key differentiating factor for many organizations (Lestari et al., 2020). The process of revising indicators should involve evaluation of the relevance of existing indicators, identification of new indicators that may be required, and removal of indicators that are no longer relevant. Relevance evaluation may be conducted by assessing the extent to which indicators remain connected to current strategic objectives and whether they still provide useful information for decision-making. The revision process should also consider user feedback regarding ease of measurement, clarity of definition, and the usefulness of the information generated. Indicator revision should be conducted in a planned manner and communicated clearly throughout the organization to avoid confusion and ensure continuity in performance monitoring.

The limitations of quantitative measurement must be acknowledged and complemented with qualitative assessment of performance aspects that are difficult to quantify (Horeman et al., 2015). Some important aspects of organizational performance, such as organizational culture, leadership, or innovation, are difficult to measure quantitatively but exert significant influence on strategic success. Qualitative assessment may be conducted through interviews, observation, or narrative evaluation, providing richer insight into dynamics that are not captured by numbers alone. The combination of quantitative measurement and qualitative assessment offers a more complete picture of organizational performance. Qualitative assessment can also help interpret quantitative data by explaining the underlying factors behind changes in indicators. The integration of both approaches requires a balanced weighting of each type of information, as well as managerial capacity to synthesize quantitative and qualitative inputs into a comprehensive understanding of performance. Efforts to combine elements such as leadership, innovation, and commitment into a strong model are highly influential in determining the quality of final outcomes (Mardikaningsih et al., 2026).

To create favorable working conditions, effective communication, motivation, and employee involvement in decision-making are required in support of organizational interests (Darmawan et al., 2021). The communication of performance results to internal and external stakeholders is an important aspect of transparent and accountable performance management. Internal stakeholders, such as employees and managers, need to understand how organizational performance is developing and how their contributions affect overall performance. Effective internal communication can increase employee engagement and strengthen commitment to organizational goals (Kazanskaia, 2025). External stakeholders, such as investors, customers, and regulators, also have an interest in organizational performance information. External communication should therefore be designed with consideration for the information needs and expectations of each stakeholder group. Transparency in performance reporting builds organizational trust and credibility, but it also requires caution to avoid disclosing commercially sensitive information. A balance between transparency and confidentiality must be maintained by establishing clear communication policies regarding what can and cannot be disclosed publicly. Moreover, in the current era, the use of fair and sustainable technology strongly supports the creation of transparent information systems (Essa & Mardikaningsih, 2023).

Organizational learning from performance data occurs when organizations systematically analyze performance patterns, identify best practices, and disseminate knowledge throughout the organization (Wilson, 2018). Analysis of performance patterns may reveal work units or practices that produce superior performance, which can then serve as models for other units. This learning process requires a culture that is

International Journal Management and Economic
IJME JOURNAL Vol. 5 No. 2 | May 2026 – pISSN: 2829-0399, eISSN: 2829-0526, Page 75-85
open to knowledge sharing and does not excessively blame failure. Organizations that are effective in learning will use failure as an opportunity to understand what does not work and to formulate better approaches. Learning mechanisms may be facilitated through communities of practice, experience-sharing sessions, or documentation of best practices that can be accessed across the organization. Organizational learning also includes the ability to challenge the assumptions underlying strategy and performance indicators, enabling the organization to adapt to changing realities and revise approaches that are no longer effective.

The integration of performance indicators with other management systems within the organization, such as strategic planning, budgeting, and project management systems, ensures coherence and cross-functional synergy. Performance indicators should be linked to the budgeting process so that resource allocation is prioritized toward the areas most critical for achieving performance targets (Galloway, 2010). The relationship between performance indicators and project management also ensures that strategic initiatives remain focused on achieving predetermined targets. This integration requires cross-functional coordination and information systems that support data exchange across organizational processes. Without effective integration, performance measurement systems will stand alone as separate activities from other management processes and lose their potential to influence organizational direction. Integration also facilitates consistency in the priorities and messages conveyed throughout the organization, so that each function works in a coordinated manner toward the same goals.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that measurable key performance indicators (KPIs) function as strategic instruments that translate abstract organizational objectives into concrete metrics that can be monitored and evaluated systematically. The effectiveness of KPIs in assessing the success of business execution depends on their relevance, validity, reliability, and sensitivity to change. Appropriate KPI selection should be based on a causal understanding of how strategic actions generate desired outcomes and should include a balanced combination of leading indicators, which provide early warning signals, and lagging indicators, which confirm the achievement of results. In addition, the number of indicators should be limited according to the principle of parsimony so that managerial attention remains focused on the most critical dimensions of performance.

The study also highlights that the usefulness of KPIs is not determined solely by measurement itself, but by the broader system in which measurement is embedded. Realistic target setting, reliable data collection mechanisms, validation procedures, and effective dashboard visualization are necessary to ensure that performance information is accurate, timely, and meaningful for decision-making. Performance interpretation must go beyond numerical comparison by incorporating root cause analysis that distinguishes controllable internal factors from external environmental influences. Furthermore, performance information should be integrated into formal managerial processes, such as performance review meetings and follow-up action planning, so that KPI results contribute directly to corrective action, organizational learning, and continuous improvement.

From a practical perspective, organizations need to develop KPI systems that are closely connected to strategic planning, budgeting, and project management in order to create coherence across managerial functions. Accountability may be strengthened by linking KPI achievement to reward and consequence systems, although such mechanisms must be designed carefully to avoid dysfunctional behavior, short-termism, or excessive focus on isolated measures. Periodic KPI revision is also essential to ensure continued relevance in dynamic business environments. Moreover, the combination of quantitative measurement and qualitative assessment provides a more comprehensive understanding of organizational performance, while transparent communication of performance outcomes to internal and external stakeholders supports trust, accountability, and strategic alignment.

Future research may further examine how digital technologies, data analytics, and industry-specific conditions influence the design and use of KPI systems in contemporary organizations. The implementation of an effective performance measurement system requires long-term commitment, investment in analytical capability and information technology, and an organizational culture that supports openness, learning, and adaptation. Under these conditions, KPIs do not merely serve as evaluation tools, but become integral strategic mechanisms for strengthening organizational performance and sustaining competitive advantage.

REFERENCES

Abdulah, M. H. A. B., Gardi, B., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Innovation in human resource management to enhance organizational competitiveness in the era of globalization. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 51–58.

Arifin, S., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Technology access and digital skills: Bridging the gaps in education and employment opportunities in the age of technology 4.0. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 163–168.

Arifin, S., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2021). The effect of employability and organizational climate on employee performance. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 1(2), 33–42.

Arifin, S., Darmawan, D., Hartanto, C. F. B., & Rahman, A. (2022). Human resources based on total quality management. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 17–20.

Bourne, M., & Bourne, P. (2023). How do you measure performance? (pp. 41–57). https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803922294.00009

Broccardo, L., Alfiero, S., & Culasso, F. (2024). Enhancing performance management systems in a challenging environment. Management Control. https://doi.org/10.3280/maco2024-001001

Carneiro-da-Cunha, J. A., Hourneaux, F., & Corrêa, H. L. (2016). Evolution and chronology of the organisational performance measurement field. International Journal of Business Performance Management, 17(2), 223–240. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBPM.2016.075553

Chasanah, U., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2023). Optimizing employee performance: The role of human resource management. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 3(1), 1–12.

Chasanah, U., Mardikaningsih, R., Darmawan, D., & Halizah, S. N. (2022). Strategi peningkatan kinerja bisnis kuliner melalui optimalisasi kualitas produk dan kualitas pelayanan. At-Tasyri: Jurnal Hukum Islam dan Ekonomi Syariah, 3(1), 85–100.

Dabetić, A., Popović, M. J., Davidovac, Z., & Božić, N. (2024). Management and business performance of the organization. Oditor, 10(3), 167–178. https://doi.org/10.59864/oditor82403ad

Damayanti, F., & Darmawan, D. (2025). The influence of organizational commitment and job satisfaction on employee performance. Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis dan Terapan, 3(1), 97–111.

Darmawan, D. (2021). Objective vagueness, appraisal bias, and lack of employee involvement in performance appraisal and job satisfaction in organizations. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(1), 117–122.

Darmawan, D., Anjanarko, T. S., & Masithoh, N. (2023). Transformasi pelayanan publik: Memahami peran kompetensi pegawai kecamatan dan teknologi informasi dalam meningkatkan kepuasan publik. Jurnal Ilmiah Satyagraha, 6(2), 262–275.

Darmawan, D., Chairunnas, A., Safaruddin, S., Supitriyani, S., Tahir, M., & Kemarauwana, M. (2021). Motivation and role on employee performance with the work environment as a moderating variable. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 1(1), 29–40.

Darmawan, D., Fuady, A. H. R., Mardikaningsih, R., & Retnowati, E. (2022). Tiga pilar sukses: Perilaku kewirausahaan, etika bisnis, dan modal sosial untuk meningkatkan kinerja bisnis. TIN: Terapan Informatika Nusantara, 3(5), 185–192.

Darmawan, D., Mardikaningsih, R., Sinambela, E. A., Arifin, S., Putra, A. R., Hariani, M., Irfan, M., Al Hakim, Y. R., & Issalillah, F. (2020). The quality of human resources, job performance and employee loyalty. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 24(3), 2580–2592.

Djaelani, M., Amri, M. W., Darmawan, D., & Hardianingsih, A. (2020). Application of information technology and user skills to organizational performance. Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO), 1(1), 23–28.

Dooren, W. V., Bouckaert, G., & Halligan, J. (2025). The incorporation of performance information (pp. 83–134). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003266488-5

Eddine, B. A. S., Ishaq, M. S. H. B., Darmawan, D., & Ali, R. (2021). Employee well-being and performance evaluation: Integrating quality of work life in HR management. Journal of Social Science Studies, 1(2), 97–104.

Essa, N. E., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2023). Sustainable and fair technology for an equitable society. Journal of Social Science Studies, 3(1), 355–362.

Galloway, D. L. (2010). Achieving accurate metrics using balanced scorecards and dashboards. Performance Improvement, 49(7), 38–45. https://doi.org/10.1002/pfi.20165

Hadi, S., Putra, A. R., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2020). Pengaruh perilaku inovatif dan keterlibatan kerja terhadap kinerja karyawan. Jurnal Baruna Horizon, 3(1), 186–197.

Hariani, M. (2021). Organizational culture and self-efficiency that form employee performance. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 1(2), 23–32.

Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2022). The performance of authenticity and the commodification of parasocial bonds: Twin pillars of influencer culture on social media. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 2(2), 385–412.

Hariani, M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2024). Encouraging employee engagement in sustainability initiatives to improve organization performance and sustainable achievement of social goals. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 6(3), 6–10.

Hariani, M., & Yusuf, M. (2026). Dukungan organisasi, efikasi diri, dan kinerja: Tinjauan berdasarkan teori pertukaran sosial. Jurnal Mahasiswa Manajemen dan Akuntansi, 5(1), 677–698.

Hidayah, N. A., Bauzir, V. N., & Darmawan, D. (2025). The influence of the quality of human resources (SDM) and infrastructure on government performance. Jurnal Ecoment Global, 10(3), 236–247.

Horeman, T., Sherman, K. E., & Tuijthof, G. J. M. (2015). What measures represent performance (pp. 125–140). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-44943-1_11

Jamal, A.-H., Essawi, M., & Tilchin, O. (2014). Building result-based accountability in an organization. Open Journal of Business and Management, 2(3), 195–203. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojbm.2014.23024

Jannah, S. M., & Mardikaningsih, R. (2023). Strategies for improving bureaucratic efficiency and employee performance. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 4(2), 10–14.

Kazanskaia, A. N. (2025). Reporting and communication in non-profit organizations: Strategies for transparency and stakeholder engagement. https://doi.org/10.64357/neya-gjnps-mrkncmn-09

Khan, A. (2024). Key performance indicators (pp. 31–41). https://doi.org/10.1007/979-8-8688-0119-8_3

Kober, R., & Northcott, D. (2020). Testing cause-and-effect relationships within a balanced scorecard. Accounting & Finance, 61, 1815–1849. https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12645

Kobi, J. (2024). Developing dashboard analytics and visualization tools for effective performance management and continuous process improvement. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/ijisrt24may1147

Lestari, U. P., Sinambela, E. A., Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2020). Pengaruh efikasi diri dan lingkungan kerja terhadap kepuasan kerja karyawan. Jesya (Jurnal Ekonomi & Ekonomi Syariah), 3(2), 529–536.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022a). Determinan keterlibatan kerja: Peran dari penilaian kinerja, perilaku kepemimpinan dan perilaku inovatif. TIN: Terapan Informatika Nusantara, 3(5), 178–184.

Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2022b). The influence of working conditions and employee motivation on job performance. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 2(2), 1–10.

Mardikaningsih, R., Darmawan, D., & Victoria, O. A. (2026). Modeling environmental performance: Green human resource management, leadership, commitment, innovation, and cultural moderation. Jurnal Fokus Manajemen Bisnis, 16(1), 132–173.

Marsal, A. P., & Darmawan, D. (2022). Communication strategies in managing conflict in multicultural teams. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(2), 285–290.

Mastilak, C., Matuszewski, L. J., Miller, F., & Woods, A. (2012). Evaluating conflicting performance on driver and outcome measures: The effect of strategy maps. Metrika, 23(2), 97–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00187-012-0159-6

Mridul, J. (2022). Practical issues in controller performance monitoring. https://doi.org/10.7939/r3-hdz5-qy84

Munir, M., Arifin, S., Darmawan, D., Issalillah, F., Khayru, R. K., Hariani, M., & Irfan, M. (2022). Pengaruh motivasi kerja, religiusitas, kepemimpinan dan lingkungan terhadap kinerja karyawan. Jurnal Baruna Horizon, 5(2), 88–99.

Nkwake, A. M. (2023). Validity in performance measurement (pp. 131–145). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45614-5_8

Putra, A. R. (2025). Integrating technology in leadership style to improve innovation and team performance. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 7(1), 7–11.

Putra, A. R., Anjanarko, T. S., Ernawati, E., & Masithoh, N. (2022). Development of employee work productivity through support of work facilities and management information systems. International Journal of Service Science, Management, Engineering, and Technology, 2(3), 19–23.

Putra, A. R., Mardikaningsih, R., & Darmawan, D. (2021). Organisational social capital and team collaboration as supports for total quality management. Studi Ilmu Sosial Indonesia, 1(1), 129–146.

Putra, A. R., Munir, M., Retnowati, E., Darmawan, D., & Anjanarko, T. S. (2023). Optimasi kinerja karyawan melalui sinergi dukungan supervisi dan kepuasan kerja. GLORY (Global Leadership Organizational Research in Management), 1(4), 350–360.

Ramos, A. da S., Oliveira, F. L. C., & Castro, C. M. B. de. (2020). Quantitative approaches for

identification of indicators and their relationships in performance measurement systems: A literature review (pp. 357–365). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-56920-4_29

Retnowati, E., Darmawan, D., Putra, A. R., Putra, R. S., & Issalillah, F. (2023). Pengaruh modal psikologis, kualitas kehidupan kerja, dan kepemimpinan terhadap kinerja pegawai. Jurnal Baruna Horizon, 6(1), 31–38.

Scali, C., Farnesi, M., Loffredo, R., & Bombardieri, D. (2009). Implementation and validation of a closed loop performance monitoring system. IFAC Proceedings Volumes, 42(11), 76–85. https://doi.org/10.3182/20090712-4-tr-2008.00013

Velibor, B., & Poola, I. (2023). Measuring organizational performance. https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.20225.12642

Wilson, F. J. (2018). Organizational learning. https://doi.org/10.1093/hebz/9780198777137.003.0012